

RFA-DA-23-046 Pre-Application Webinar

9/28/2022

Presented by: Sarah Duffy, PhD (NIDA), Trinh Tran, PhD (NIDA), Laura Kwako, PhD (NIAAA), and Peter Murray, PhD (NCCIH)

Welcome!

- Participants muted
- Please submit questions to "All Hosts" using Q&A feature
- Topics: Information in the RFA (e.g., eligibility, technical requirements, review criteria)
- Hitting the high points. Make sure you read the entire RFA, including links, and all applicable SF424, PH 398 instructions. Reach out with any questions!
- Slides, recording, to be posted on the NIDA Meetings & Events Page <u>here</u>
- Technical difficulties, please send chat to Malik Lucas or email him at <u>malik.lucas@nih.gov</u> (first 15 minutes)
- For project-specific questions, please contact program official (Sarah Duffy, Peter Murray, or Laura Kwako after the meeting)



RFA-DA-23-046

- Title: HEAL Initiative: Research to Foster an Opioid Use Disorder Treatment System Patients Can Count On (RM1 - Clinical Trial Optional)
- Clinical Trial Optional
- Expected # of Awards: 5-6
- Budget Limit: \$1.5 Million Direct/Year
- Award Period: 5 Years
- Activity Code: RM1
- From the NIH Helping to End Addiction Long-term[®] Initiative, an aggressive, trans-agency effort to speed scientific solutions to stem the national opioid public health crisis.



AGENDA

- FOA Scope and Application Instructions -Sarah
- Receipt, Review, Selection Trinh
- Q&A Laura, Peter, Trinh, and Sarah



FOA Scope and **Application** Instructions

Sarah Duffy, PhD, National Institute on Drug Abuse



Key Dates

Earliest Submission Date	January 2, 2023
Letter of Intent Due Date	January 2, 2023
Application Due Date	February 2, 2023
Scientific Merit Review	July 2023
Advisory Council Review	August 2023
Earliest Start Date	September 2023



FOA Background

- Individuals with Opioid Use Disorder (OUD), families, payors need information to select quality treatment
- Treatment quality could be improved e.g., evidence-based interventions not routinely provided
- Quality measurement and improvement strategies exist, rigorous research could advance, including but not limited to:
 - Examining whether improved performance on measures improve patient outcomes
 - Developing benchmarks
 - Developing, testing, case mix adjustment
 - Etc.
 - Your ideas!



OUD-QM²RCs

- Multi-project Opioid Use Disorder Quality Measurement and Management Research Centers (OUD-QM²RCs)
- RM1 = Research Project with Complex Structure
- Researchers, partner organization(s) with deployed or indevelopment quality measurement and management strategy for OUD treatment
- Rigorous, scientific research could advance QM²
- Ultimately: Feasible, efficient quality measurement and management system providing information to help
 - Patients, families, and payors fairly compare and select providers
 - Clinicians, providers improve patient outcomes.



OUD-QM²RCs Should

- ID key aspects of partner's QM² strategy that require additional research
- Conduct research
- Rigorously test or prepare to test the resulting strategy to determine if it improves patient outcomes
- QM² at a minimum:
 - Collects data on quality measures
 - Reports information back to
 - Individuals, families, and payers to help select providers
 - Clinicians, providers, and health care systems to promote quality improvement
- Include activities to support the acceptability, feasibility, scalability, sustainability of the system; equity clinician/provider selection and treatment delivery



OUD-QM²RCs Continued

- 2-3 interrelated research projects
 - Up to 2 to substantially advance the chosen strategy
 - At least 1 to rigorously test or prepare to rigorously test the effect on patient outcomes
- Meaningful involvement of the partner organization
- Participation in HEAL activities
 - Investigator meeting
 - Other coordination/sharing activities
 - Data sharing



Eligibility

- Only US organizations may apply
 - Higher Ed, non-profit, for profit, state, local, and federal governments, tribal organizations, faith- or community-based organizations, regional organizations
- Project may include foreign components
- Principal investigator(s) with skills, knowledge, resources necessary to carry out the research



Application – Specific to This RFA

Budget:

- Possible in-person convenings: 3 people, 2-day meeting in DC area in 1st and 4th year of award.
- HEAL Investigator meetings: PI at 2-day, in-person meeting in DC area annually

Research Strategy

- Page limit
 - 30 pages total if proposing 3 research projects
 - 24 pages total if proposing 2 research projects



Application Continued

Section	Page Limit	Content
A – Background and Overview	6	Partner QM ² strategy, research gaps, how filling gaps will advance system
B – Research Project 1	6	Substantially advance the QM ² strategy – Research Plan instructions
C – Research Project 2	6	Substantially advance QM ² strategy or rigorously test or prepare to test – Research Plan instructions
D – Research Project 3 (Optional)	6	Rigorously test or prepare to test – Research Plan instructions
E – Activities to support acceptability, etc. of system	3	See RFA
F – Leadership/Admin/ Organization	3	See RFA



Data Management and Sharing Plan

- Required for research funded in whole or in part by NIH that results in the generation of scientific data
 - Outlining how scientific data, accompanying metadata will be managed and shared
 - Should describe data types, file formats, submission timelines, etc.
 - Expected that shareable data generated will be submitted to studyappropriate repository
 - Etc.
 - Updated application package should be available soon: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-22-189.html
- If data cannot be shared, provide a justification
- Will be reviewed



Notices and Policy Updates

Two places to look:

	Disorder Treatment System Patients Can Count On (RM1 - Clinical Trial Optional)
Activity Code	RM1 Research Project with Complex Structure
Announcement Type	New
Related Notices	 NOT-OD-22-190 - Adjustments to NIH and AHRQ Grant Application Due Dates Between September 22 and September 30, 2022 August 30, 2022 - Notice of Pre-Application Technical Assistance Webinar for NIDA RFA-DA-23-046, "HEAL Initiative: Research to Foster an Opioid Use Disorder Treatment System Patients Can Count On (RM1 - Clinical Trial Optional)". See Notice NOT-DA-22-073



Recently issued trans-NIH policy notices may affect your application submission. A full list of policy notices published by NIH is provided in the NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts. All awards are subject to the terms and conditions, cost principles, and other considerations described in the NIH Grants Policy Statement.

Receipt, Review, Selection

Trinh Tran, PhD, National Institute on Drug Abuse



Receipt, Review and Selection Process

Receipt

- Review for completeness, compliance with instructions (NIH Center for Scientific Review)
- Responsiveness (NIDA)
- Incomplete/non-compliant/nonresponsive not reviewed
- Assignment to most appropriate NIH Institute/Center (may happen after review)

Review

- Scientific Review Group convened by NIDA in accordance with NIH peer review policy and procedures
- All applications will receive written critique
- May review/provide overall impact score for only the most meritorious (usually top 50%).

Selection

- Applications will compete for available funds with all other recommended applications submitted in response to this FOA
- Following will be considered
 - Scientific and technical merit as determined by scientific peer review
 - Availability of funds
 - Relevance of proposed project to program priorities



Standard review criteria +

- Overall Impact: Likelihood for project to exert sustained, powerful influence on the research field, etc. Based on scored criteria:
 - Significance Important problem? Rigor of prior research? If aims are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved?, etc.
 - Investigators PD(s)/PI(s) collaborators, other researchers well-suited?, Etc.
 - Innovation Utilizes novel theoretical concepts, approaches, methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions, etc.
 - Approach: Overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned, appropriate to accomplish specific aims?, etc.
 - For this FOA: How appropriate is the involvement of the partner organization(s), and how well does that involvement promote the OUD-QM²RC's success?
 - For this FOA: How well does the Data Sharing Plan address how scientific data and any accompanying metadata will be managed and shared?
 - Environment: Scientific environment contribute to probability of success?, etc.
- Also, standard clinical trial-specific criteria in each section



Additional Review Criteria (Standard)

- Evaluated while determining scientific and technical merit, and providing overall impact score, but not individually scored:
 - Study timeline (for clinical trials)
 - Protection of Human Subjects
 - Inclusion of women, minorities, individuals across the lifespan
 - Etc.
- Evaluated, but not considered in scoring
 - Budget
 - Resource sharing plan (though data management and sharing plan is)



Q&A

Laura Kwako, PhD, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Peter Murray, PhD, National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health

Trinh Tran, PhD, National Institute on Drug Abuse Sarah Duffy, National Institute on Drug Abuse

Please submit questions to "All Hosts" using Q&A feature

